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• Decision Makers and Hearing Officers
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• Policy and Program Reviews
• Interim Title IX Coordinator Coverage
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PREPARING FOR THE HEARING

• Read investigative report and review all evidence
• Ensure you are aware of procedures that preceded hearing
• Familiarize yourself with relevant policies and procedures
• Coordinate with other panel members to ensure understanding of expectations
• Identify and address any potential conflicts of interest
• Determine whether there are any reasons why the investigation needs to be re-opened
PREPARING YOUR QUESTIONS

• The purpose of the hearing is not to conduct a trial but to gain as full and fair an account as possible in order to determine responsibility

• When drafting your questions:
  • What do you need to know after reviewing the report and evidence?
  • What elements of the policy are/are not clearly met?

• When questioning, be transparent, kind, comfortable, and flexible
IRRELEVANT AND INAPPROPRIATE QUESTIONS

- Questions about a party’s sexual history
- Questions about a party or witness’s health, including mental health
- Questions about aspects of a party or witness’s life that are not pertinent to the alleged conduct
- Questions that improperly blame a complainant or witness for the alleged conduct
- Questions that are phrased rudely or unkindly, or intended to bully a party or witness
- Questions that ask the party or witness to speculate
- Questions that only satisfy curiosity and go down “rabbit holes”
- Questions that are repetitive
EVALUATING EVIDENCE

DIRECT
Supports the truth of an account directly

CIRCUMSTANTIAL
Relies on inference to support a conclusion of facts
ASSESSING CREDIBILITY

- Inherent plausibility and logic of story
- Consistency
- Demeanor
- Level of detail provided – beware of the “categorical denial”
- Past record and pattern behavior
- Cross-corroboration
- Corroboration by evidence
- Not a moral judgment on honesty
- Evaluating credibility is a continuous process
SEXUAL ASSAULT MYTHS

• There is not one way to respond to experiencing sexual assault
• Be aware of stereotypes
• It is not unusual for a complainant to appear numb, detached, or unaffected; delay reporting; blame themselves; express denial, confusion, or fear; minimize their experience; recant some or all of the allegations made; or interact with the respondent after the alleged incident(s)
• Cannot evaluate through “that wouldn’t bother me”
Panels Deliberations

- Should be private and confidential
- All panel members must have the opportunity to voice opinions and have equal influence, regardless of power imbalances among panel members
- Consider each element of each allegation in turn and weigh the evidence for and against a finding of responsibility by preponderance of the evidence
- Evaluation of the evidence includes credibility assessment, analysis of discrepancies and corroboration, and comparison to policy
- Do not rely on outside or excluded evidence
- For each allegation, vote to determine findings and document rationale
NON-HEARING DECISION MAKERS

• Thoroughly evaluate investigative record and relevant policies
• Ask for clarification from the investigator, if needed
• If the existing record does not provide satisfactorily thorough information to support a decision, then no decision should be issued at that time
• If there are unanswered issues that are relevant/important, additional questions may need to be asked of witnesses
• Follow institutional policy to determine who asks follow-up questions and in what manner
• Decision maker is NOT the investigator – important to understand the difference
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DETERMINING SANCTIONS

• Sanctions should be appropriate considering severity and pervasiveness of behavior
• May also consider complainant’s requests regarding sanctions, as well as respondent’s demonstration of accountability and remorse
• Sanctions must be defensible according to evidence and policy
• Primary goals:
  • Stopping the behavior
  • Contributing to healing
  • Protecting complainant/campus safety
WRITTEN DETERMINATION

• Policies considered
• Procedural steps from receipt of complaint through determination
• Statements of determinations as to each allegation
• Findings of fact and rationales for determinations as to each allegation
• Sanctions for findings of responsibility
• Remedies provided to the complainant to restore or preserve access to education program or activity impacted by allegations
• Procedures and bases for appeal
THE TITLE IX AND CIVIL RIGHTS

PODCAST

WEEKLY EPISODES AVAILABLE ON ALL STREAMING SERVICES
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“Final Table is a compelling, timely, and fast-paced story of a sexual assault survivor’s fight to regain control of her life in the face of fear, self-doubt, international intrigue, and looming retribution. Former sex crimes prosecutor Dan Schorr’s nuanced and layered debut novel smashes stereotypes and cliches with a strikingly original and memorable narrative.”

- SUNNY HOSTIN, Emmy-Winning Co-Host of The View and best-selling author of I Am These Truths and Summer on the Bluffs